

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/725,536	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ANDERSSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jessica L. Rossi	<b>Art Unit</b> 1733	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Jessica L. Rossi.

(2) Mr. Madsen.

**Date of Interview:** 11 August 2006

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  
**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**  
 Rejection(s) discussed:  
  
 Claims discussed:  
  
 Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**  
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner proposed canceling new claims 33-42 since the primary references to Andersson '788 and Ruppel '730, which were applied in the previous action, could be used in 102 and 103 rejections, respectively, to anticipate claims 33-42 and render claims 33-42 obvious. Applicant agreed to cancel claims 33-42 without prejudice. Discussed amending claim 43 to clarify that the laminating and printing steps take place simultaneously at the same location on the outside of the second web (see Figure 2) in order to distinguish the claimed invention from Andersson '788 who teaches the laminating and printing steps taking place simultaneously at different locations on the outside of the second web (see Figure 1). Applicant proposed to amend claim 43 to state --at said press nip-- after "simultaneously" in line 21; examiner agreed that such an amendment would distinguish claim 43 from Andersson '788.